...Decisions... Decisions...



These notes indicate the decisions taken at this meeting and the officers responsible for taking the agreed action. For background documentation please refer to the agenda and supporting papers available on the Council's web site (<u>www.oxfordshire.gov.uk</u>.)

If you have a query please contact Colm Ó Caomhánaigh (Tel: 07393 001096; E-Mail: colm.ocaomhanaigh@oxfordshire.gov.uk)

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE AGENDA	DECISIONS	ACTION
1. Minutes To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 5 November 2024 (CC1) and to receive information arising from them.	Approved	DLG (C Ó Caomhánai gh)
2. Apologies for Absence	Apologies were received from: Councillors Ash, Bloomfield, Bulmer, Gregory, Hanna, van Mierlo, Murphy and Stevens.	DLG (C Ó Caomhánai gh)
 3. Declarations of Interest - see guidance note Members are reminded that they must declare their interests orally at the meeting and specify (a) the nature of the interest and (b) which items on the agenda are the relevant items. This applies also to items where members have interests by virtue of their membership of a district council in Oxfordshire. 	In relation to Item 2 on the Report of the Cabinet, Councillor Leffman declared that she was a Director of OxLEP and that Councillor Roberts would respond to any questions on that item. Councillors Graham, Rouane and Thomas declared that they were also Directors. In relation to the petition taken under Item 6, Councillor Povolotsky declared that she was the Co-Chair of the Parent Teacher Association of the Europa School. In relation to Item 14, Councillor Reynolds declared that he was a farmer.	
4. Official Communications	Council noted the announcements published in the Schedule of Business.	
5. Appointments	Council noted that formation of a Cabinet Committee called the	DLG (C Ó Caomhánai gh)

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE AGENDA	DECISIONS	ACTION
	Shareholder Committee.	
	Council approved the following appointments:	
	Councillor Yvonne Constance to replace Councillor Felix Bloomfield on the Audit & Governance Committee.	
	Councillor Yvonne Constance to replace Councillor Nigel Champken- Woods on the Oxfordshire Joint Health Overview & Scrutiny Committee.	
6. Petitions and Public Address	Petition Aidan Reilly presented a petition with 870 signatures for a safe walking and cycling route from Abingdon and Sutton Courtenay to the Europa School and Culham Science Centre.	DEH (P Fermer)
7. Questions with Notice from Members of the Public	Four questions were asked. The questions, responses and supplementary questions are recorded in an Annex below.	
8. Questions with Notice from Members of the Council	Fifteen questions were asked. The questions, responses and supplementary questions are recorded in an Annex below.	
9. Report of the Cabinet	Council received the report of	
Report from Leader of the Council.	Cabinet.	
The report summarises the decisions from the Cabinet meeting on 19 November 2024.		
10. Treasury Management Mid Term Review 2024/25	The report was noted.	
Report by the Executive Director of Finance and Section 151 Officer		
In this report, the performance for the first		

		107/01/
RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE AGENDA	DECISIONS	ACTION
half of the year (1 April to 30 September 2024) is measured against the budget agreed by Council in February 2024.		
Council is RECOMMENDED to note the Council's treasury management activity in the first half of 2024/25.		
11.Scrutiny Annual Report 2023/24	Council received the report.	
Report by Director of Law and Governance and Monitoring Officer		
This report invites the Council to consider the Scrutiny Annual Report and formally receive it.		
The Council is RECOMMENDED to		
a) Receive the Scrutiny Annual Report.		
12. Appraisal Process for the Chief Executive (Head of Paid Service)		
Report of the Director of HR and Cultural Change		
The Council is RECOMMENDED to:		
a) Approve the proposed changes to the appraisal process for the Chief Executive (Head of the Paid Service) as set out by the South East Employers (SEE) review report (Annex 2).	Recommendations approved with 48 votes in favour, none against and no abstentions.	DHR&CC (K Pennington)
b) Delegate authority to the Remuneration Committee to constitute the Appraisal Panel and undertake the appraisals for the Chief Executive.		
c) Approve amendments to the Constitution to include acting as an Appraisal Panel and undertaking Chief Executive's		

...Decisions...Decisions...

	I	1
RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE AGENDA	DECISIONS	ACTION
appraisals to the terms of reference to Remuneration Committee at Part 5.1C and to delegate to the Director of Law and Governance and the Monitoring Officer to make any consequential amendments to the Constitution.		
13. Financial Procedure Rules and Financial Regulations		
Report by Executive Director of Resources and Section 151 Officer		
To approve amendments to the Financial Procedure Rules and Financial Regulations.		
Council is RECOMMENDED to	Recommendation approved unanimously.	DLG (A Newman)
a) Approve the amendments to the Financial Procedure Rules and Financial Regulations, as recommended by the Audit and Governance Committee.		
14. Motion by Councillor Eddie Reeves - Farming	The motion was carried with 36 votes in favour, 14 against and 0 abstentions.	DLG (C Ó Caomhánai gh)
This Council considers that the government's changes in its Autumn budget to Inheritance Tax treatment of farmland, increases to employers' National Insurance and introduction of a new fertiliser tax on key agricultural imports will have a detrimental cumulative impact on family farms across Oxfordshire.		
This Council notes with concern that these family farm taxes risk:		
 Adversely affecting local farmers' potential to employ people in Oxfordshire's rural economy; 		
Damaging the ability for family		

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE AGENDA	DECISIONS	ACTION
farmers to pass on their farms to their children; and		
 Making food production at competitive prices more difficult for us as a county. 		
This Council requests Cabinet to ensure that:		
 Its own policies are as supportive of local farmers as reasonably possible; 		
 The newly integrated Oxfordshire Local Enterprise Partnership ('OxLEP') prioritises the local rural economy in its governance structure and ongoing development of its strategic plan; 		
3. The Cabinet Member for the Environment organises a meeting with local farmers and elected members of all political groups to listen to their concerns with a view to informing the Council's and OxLEP's ongoing policy development.		
This Council requests the Leader to write to the Chancellor of the Exchequer and Secretary of State for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs to ask that introduction of these family farm taxes be reconsidered for the sake of environmental protection and food security.		
Note: The motion, if passed, would constitute the exercise of an executive function in which case it will be referred to the Cabinet together with any advice the Council may wish to give, in accordance with Rule 13.5.1 (i) of the Council Procedure Rules in the Constitution.		
15. Motion by Councillor Charlie Hicks -	The amendment proposed by	DLG (C Ò Caomhánai

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE AGENDA	DECISIONS	ACTION
 Citizens' Assembly on the Future of Transport in Central Oxfordshire This Council agreed to set up a Citizens' Assembly on the Future of Transport in Central Oxfordshire as part of its last budget. This Council considers that it is time for such a Citizens' Assembly, focused on reducing congestion, to be established. It should happen this financial year. This Council notes that its ambitious suite of traffic management measures is due to be rolled out in the very near future. These include Oxford's traffic filters and workplace parking levy, which are now largely enshrined in the Council's budget and will take place unless there is a change of administration next year. If all such measures go ahead, Oxfordshire motorists could be hit by £57million in fines in fines and charges, broken down as follows: Expanded Zero Emissions Zone £25m; Traffic Filters £11m; Workplace Parking Tax £21m. 	Councillor Reeves and seconded by Councillor Bartholomew was accepted by the proposer and seconder of the original motion and by Council. The amendment proposed by Councillor Haywood and seconded by Councillor Bennett was lost with 19 votes in favour, 28 against and 1 abstention. The motion as amended was carried with 29 votes in favour, 20 against and no abstentions. This Council considered setting up a Congestion Commission and agreed to set up a Citizens' Assembly on the Future of Transport in Central- Oxfordshire as part of its last budget. This Council considers that it is time to establish for such a Citizens' Assembly of local residents or a Congestion Commission , focused- on reducing congestion, to be- established. It should happen this- financial yearincluding businesspeople, public sector leaders, civil society and faith group leaders, and academics with relevant expertise, to ensure a greater democratic say in key	gh)
Citizens' Assembly would be to assess the likely effectiveness of such measures, provide recommendations on existing proposals and advise as to whether other measures might be given effect after next year's local elections as supplements or substitutes for such policies, putting residents' voices at the heart of the decision-making process. Council requests Cabinet to establish a Congestion Citizens' Assembly of Central	decision-making. This Council notes that its ambitious suite of traffic management measures is due to be rolled out in the very near future. These include Oxford's traffic filters and workplace parking levy, which are now largely enshrined in the Council's budget and will take place unless there is a change of administration next year.	
Oxfordshire citizens, with an advisory group to comprise elected members, local businesspeople, public sector leaders from	If all such measures go ahead, Oxfordshire motorists could be hit by £57million in fines in fines and	

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE AGENDA	DECISIONS	ACTION
the Council's health, education and blue light partners, civil society and faith group leaders, and academics with relevant expertise in the field. <i>Note: The motion, if passed, would constitute the exercise of an executive function in which case it will be referred to the Cabinet together with any advice the Council may wish to give, in accordance with Rule 13.5.1 (i) of the Council Procedure Rules in the Constitution.</i>	 charges, broken down as follows: Expanded Zero Emissions Zone £25m; Traffic Filters £11m; Workplace Parking Tax £21m. For clarity, the purpose of a Congestion-Citizens' Assembly or Congestion Commission would be to assess the likely effectiveness of such measures, provide recommendations on existing proposals and advise as to whether other measures might be given effect after next year's local elections as supplements or substitutes for such policies, putting residents', businesses' and civil society groups' voices at the heart of local the decision-making process. Council requests Cabinet to establish a Congestion Commission or Citizens' Assembly of Central- Oxfordshire citizens, with an advisory- group to comprise ensuring in so doing that the voices of elected members, local-businesspeople, public sector leaders from the- Council's health, education and blue- light partners, and civil society and faith groups-leaders are properly heard, and academics with relevant- expertise in the field.	
16. Motion by Councillor lan Middleton - Badger culling	The motion was carried with 30 votes in favour, 8 against and 7 abstentions.	DLG (C Ó Caomhánai gh)
In 2018 this Council passed a motion stating that it was opposed to the licensing of a badger cull in Oxfordshire. When DEFRA announced badger culling would be phased out by 2025, many people believed that the cull had ended. Sadly, culling in Oxfordshire intensified,		

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE AGENDA	DECISIONS	ACTION
seemingly with the intent of shooting as many badgers as possible before the deadline with over 50% of the county in the killing zones.		
Numerous scientific reports show that culling is ineffective as a control measure, yet DEFRA, the NFU and British Cattle Veterinary Association and others continue to advocate its use.		
While it's welcomed that the Labour government has abandoned plans to allow up to 100% of badgers to be killed in some areas, there was a hope that they would cancel the cull altogether and focus on cattle based measures, including support for farmers over improved biosecurity, and a move towards testing and vaccination.		
However it now seems likely that the government will permit the cull to continue for at least the next 5 years meaning even more badgers in Oxfordshire will be senselessly slaughtered.		
This Council therefore :		
1. Re-affirms our opposition to badger culling which has been shown to be an ineffective control measure for Bovine tuberculosis.		
2. Asks the Cabinet to consider a specific policy that badger culling will not be permitted on Council-owned land.		
3. Requests that the Leader writes to DEFRA making clear our continued opposition to the cull and any extension of it in size and scope.		
Note: The motion, if passed, would constitute the exercise of an executive function in which case it will be referred to the Cabinet together with any advice the Council may wish to give, in accordance		

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE AGENDA	DECISIONS	ACTION
with Rule 13.5.1 (i) of the Council		
Procedure Rules in the Constitution.		
17. Motion by Councillor Eddie Reeves -	The motion fell as the issue had	
Congestion Commission	already been discussed under item 14. [CPR 11.5(iii)].	
This Council considered setting up a Congestion Commission as part of its last budget debate. This measure was not taken up at the time. However, this Council now considers that it is time for such a commission to be established.		
This Council notes that its ambitious suite of traffic management measures is due to be rolled out, in large measure, before the next set of elections to Oxfordshire County Council in May 2025. These include Oxford's traffic filters and workplace parking tax, which are now largely enshrined in the Council's budget and will take place unless there is a change of administration next year.		
If all such measures go ahead, Oxfordshire motorists could be hit by £57million in fines and charges over a five-year period broken down as follows:		
 Expanded Zero Emissions Zone £25m; 		
 Traffic Filters (Four-sector Plan) £11m; 		
Workplace Parking Tax £21m.		
For clarity, the purpose of a Congestion Commission is not to water down or frustrate the administration's policies, for which there is budgetary provision. Rather, its object would be to assess the likely effectiveness of such measures and advise as to whether other measures might be given effect after next year's local elections as supplements or substitutes for such policies.		

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE AGENDA	DECISIONS	ACTION
Council requests Cabinet to establish a Congestion Commission to comprise elected members, local businesspeople, public sector leaders from the Council's health, education and blue light partners, civil society and faith group leaders, and academics with relevant expertise in the field.		
Note: The motion, if passed, would constitute the exercise of an executive function in which case it will be referred to the Cabinet together with any advice the Council may wish to give, in accordance with Rule 13.5.1 (i) of the Council Procedure Rules in the Constitution.		
 18. Motion by Councillor Liz Brighouse - Dark Skies This Council, being concerned about the lack of political governance in relation to Dark Skies, the plan to switch off many of the county's streetlights between 11pm - 6.30am, calls on the Cabinet to carry out a full consultation before bringing this back for decision making. Such consultation to include all county councillors alongside community groups and particularly those working with women and girls. Note: The motion, if passed, would constitute the exercise of an executive function in which case it will be referred to the Cabinet together with any advice the Council may wish to give, in accordance with Rule 13.5.1 (i) of the Council Procedure Rules in the Constitution. 	The amendment proposed by Councillor Reeves and seconded by Councillor Mallon was accepted by the proposer and seconder of the original motion and by Council. The motion as amended was carried with 39 votes in favour, none against and no abstentions. This Council, being extremely concerned about the lack of political governance in relation to 'Dark Skies', the administration's plan to switch off many of the county's streetlights between 11pm - 6.30am, which could have had significant adverse consequences for public safety across parts of our county, especially our urban centres, had it proceeded. Recognising the need for the Council to safeguard public money, support our rural parishes in their aspirations to curtail unwanted light pollution and protect and local wildlife in our villages, this Council calls on the Cabinet to:	DLG (C Ó Caomhánai gh)

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE AGENDA	DECISIONS	ACTION
	(i) carry out a full consultation before bringing this back for delegated decision-making by the Cabinet Member, . Ssuch consultation to include all county councillors alongside community groups and particularly those working with women, and girls, and shift workers in our hospitality and other key sectors, who the Council's own report recognises may have been disproportionately affected by this decision;	
	(ii) ensure that all county councillors are fully briefed, whether through their locality meetings or by way of an all-member briefing, before any street lights are switched off; and	
	(iii)ensure that a fuller Equalities Impact Assessment takes place in connection with future decision-making on such matters of public safety, the previous one in Annexe 5 being lacking.	
	In future, this Council asks that Cabinet consult elected members more fully on matters of public safety in future.	
	This Council further notes that pre- scrutiny of such important matters would be beneficial to ensure greater democratic oversight.	



Questions from Members of the Public

Questions are listed in the order in which they were received.

1. CITY COUNCILLOR DAVID HENWOOD	COUNCILLOR ANDREW GANT, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT
Cllr Gant, can you cite a single public consultation under your administration concerning LTNs, CPZs, and other restrictions on traffic movement where you and	We understand that traffic flow and demands evolve and change, and therefore it is our responsibility to incorporate a degree of flexibility. As highways authority, we are committed to the continuing monitoring of the city's roads network, and making necessary adjustments as needed.
your colleagues have respected and modified your actions to reflect the majority view of those	We are, always have been, and remain committed to listening to the views of residents, businesses, visitors, and everyone who uses our road network.
who responded?	Regarding the role of formal consultations, these are an entirely free and open opportunity for anyone to comment on a proposal as they see fit, and for cabinet to gather views and feedback on proposals before any decisions are made. When making a decision, cabinet is asked to consider a range of information including consultation feedback monitoring data, legal advice, and equality and climate impact assessments.
	Many respondents to consultations make hugely valuable contributions, often with detailed local knowledge and insight, and these are very welcome.
	Others use the opportunity to indulge in general criticism or worse. Some respondents object on grounds which represent a misunderstanding of what is being proposed. Allowing such responses to influence or change a decision would be inappropriate, and would damage the integrity of the process.
	Equally, the over-arching policies of the County Council are agreed through due process in the relevant policy decisions and documents such as LTCP or the agreed 20mph policy. Each scheme recommended by officers then gives operational effect to that policy. Therefore, responses which criticise the underlying policy cannot be regarded as relevant to its application in a specific scheme. That is not the question being asked.



At the same time, there is often a group of responders who object on the grounds that they want the policy to go further. Clearly, counting these objections in the same category as those who object to the policy as a whole is not representing their views.

The responsibility of the decision-maker, therefore, is not just to count responses but to read them and try to understand what they are saying to us. I spend a great deal of my life doing just that. Politicians who instead simply count up the ticks in one column and the crosses in another and think a decision should be made on that basis are just being lazy, and are certainly not representing the views of the population. Such an approach also, of course, opens the process to being dominated by whichever voice can organise itself to speak loudest. That is not how responsible democratic decision-making works, and not the way to achieve lasting beneficial change for our residents and future generations. We've seen far too much of that kind of pusillanimity in Oxfordshire in recent decades, which is why this administration has made more progress in three-and-a-half years than previous administrations and some other councils did in decades.

Regarding specific instances of the weight given to consultation responses, I would refer the questioner to any item at any of my Cabinet Member Decision meetings, in which such responses are always evaluated and taken into account as appropriate. This is not the place to try and precis those long and complex interrogations of individual items, all of which are on the record and available to listen to and read. I also try to take every opportunity, sometimes using my prerogative to stretch agreed limits on things like notice periods to speak, to encourage and allow engagement with anyone who wants to contribute, including elected members and members of the public.

However, just one very recent example of this working in action is in relation to CPZ decision in Iffley and Rose Hill. In this instance, the CPZs for Iffley Village and Rose Hill shops was approved, but the decision on Iffley Borders and the residential area in Rose Hill was deferred. This was in direct response to engagement, consultation and representation at the decision meeting. There are countless other schemes where projects have been amended as a direct response to feedback and how constructive engagement and consultation truly can make a difference to the democratic process.



SUPPLEMENTARY:	ANSWER (CLLR JUDY ROBERTS, CABINET MEMBER FOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY):
Do you agree in principle with the Labour amendment to introduce CPZ's in Littlemore North, an amendment that yourself, Cllr Elphinstone, Cllr Hicks and Cllr Lygo all voted in favour for in February, also demonstrates a conflict of interest in your role as Transport lead. How will you be able to reach a decision without demonstrating bias?	I think that since the question was quite a personal one, we will have to ask for a written reply.
2. BERNADETTE EVANS	COUNCILLOR ANDREW GANT, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT
The on-street parking in the Oxford suburb of Jericho costs £19 for two hours making it more expensive than St Giles in the city centre. This is due to the Jericho machines being programmed so you can only pay for one hour or three hours. There is no option to pay for two hours. Is it possible to correct this anomaly to ensure that there are options to pay for one, two and three hours separately?	There are no plans to make any changes to the tariff structure at this time. However, we will keep this under consideration.
SUPPLEMENTARY:	ANSWER (CLLR JUDY ROBERTS, CABINET MEMBER FOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY):

Г



Given that Jericho is an Oxford suburb some 15-20 minutes' walk from the City Centre, do you think it is odd or lacking in logic that on-street parking there costs significantly more for 2 hours than it does in St Giles?	As that is a policy question, I think the officers will have to provide a written reply.
3. RICHARD PARNHAM	COUNCILLOR NATHAN LEY, CABINET MEMBER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH AND INEQUALITIES
What (if any) quality control improvements have been put in place to improve the thoroughness of OCC's equality impact assessments (EIAs) BEFORE EIA are published,	A detailed review of the equality impact assessment (EIA) process is planned in light of recent EIAs which have not been up to standard. This will not only include the approvals process but also the training and support provided to officers. We want to ensure that, at an early stage of policy development, impacts on different groups are anticipated, potential issues identified, and mitigations considered.
following the recent dark skies debacle?	We have recently published our refreshed <u>Including Everyone framework</u> , which includes a commitment to an inclusive workplace and ensuring that colleagues have the skills and knowledge they need to include everyone. We have a partnership with Inclusive Employers who will be helping us with this, and we will be training EIA champions in our service areas to provide advice, expertise and support to colleagues on an ongoing basis.
SUPPLEMENTARY:	ANSWER:
In light of the decision by OCC to review its Equalities Impact Assessment process, will Mr Ley urgently consider reviewing the EIA associated with the decision to move away from visitor permits based on scratch cards to a system based on phones and	Thank you for the supplementary question. Regarding the digital parking permits initiative, I'm not 100% up to speed with that but we recognise that the transition to the digital system may present challenges to certain groups, particularly the elderly and those with disabilities. To address these concerns in this particular instance, the Council has provided alternative methods for applying so that residents without a smartphone or a computer can contact customer services advisors. In addition, those advisors are able to assist with applying for using the virtual permits once they're activated. These steps will ensure that residents can access all the services they need. However, in this instance, we acknowledge that the EIA



apps? This planned switch has raised numerous equalities concerns for the elderly and digitally excluded.	captured potential negative effects. Moving forward, the Council is committed to enhancing these processes. Thank you for the question; it is an important one.
4. MATTHEW WEBB	COUNCILLOR ANDREW GANT, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT#
Prompted by the recent letter / statement from the head of Oxford Bus company to the	The Council is progressing a number of transport-related projects intended to make bus travel more reliable and efficient, as well as improve safety for all road users.
recent 20mph consultation. That the current transport policies implemented by the council are ineffective. And my understanding that as part of the Oxford electric bus rollout the	The details of arrangements between the Council and bus operators in relation to improvement in bus productivity and the deployment of electric buses are available in our Enhanced Partnership Plan & Scheme document, which is available on our website at https://www.oxfordshire.gov.uk/sites/default/files/file/roads-and-transport-policies-and-plans/OxfordshireEnhancedPlan.pdf .
council made financial commitments to implement	The Enhanced Partnership document does not specify any financial penalties.
effective transport policies. Can you state the commitments the council has agreed to including the dates and the financial liabilities / penalties of not	The traffic filter trial scheme, and other projects designed to promote buses and active travel within Oxford, have been part of the Council's transport strategy since 2015 and long predate any proposals for electric buses. The delivery of the council's transport programme has been disrupted by the Botley Road closure remaining in place for much longer than expected.
meeting those commitments.	Regarding 20mph schemes, detailed consideration is given to all feedback and consultation responses in consideration of each scheme brought forward under the 20mph policy, including significant attention to the content and detail of responses from bus operators. I would refer the questioner to the record of those decision meetings for further information. The Council's 20mph scheme has been and continues to be a huge success, requested and implemented in the vast majority of communities across Oxfordshire, and making a significant impact on safety and amenity, as evidenced by recent accident statistics in Wales which show a 28% reduction in KSIs in 20mph areas.



QUESTIONS WITH NOTICE FROM MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL

Questions are listed in the order in which they were received. The time allowed for this agenda item will not exceed 30 minutes. Should any questioner not have received an answer in that time, a written answer will be provided.

1. COUNCILLOR MICHAEL WAINE	COUNCILLOR ANDREW GANT, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT
Given the high return rate to the consultation on the Bicester Sheep Street Two- Way Cycling proposal, what percentage of 'strongly disagree' responses would have enough impact to change	There is no percentage figure which automatically triggers a particular decision, as the responsibility of the decision maker is to read responses and analyse them, not just count them.
your decision?	The ETRO process provides for ongoing consultation during the first six months, whilst also collecting data. The pre-consultation process enabled me to consider the areas of objection or concern voiced and how these could be addressed during the ETRO.
SUPPLEMENTARY:	ANSWER (CLLR JUDY ROBERTS, CABINET MEMBER FOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY):
What value should town residents place on an ongoing consultation as part of the ETRO when both they, and their County Councillors who live in Bicester and not North Oxford, who regularly use Sheep Street and who strongly disagree?	I think the answer already actually covered that and it is an ETRO, so there will be constant consultation through this process. That should answer any questions that are raised by the residents.
2. COUNCILLOR MICHAEL WAINE	COUNCILLOR ANDREW GANT, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT
Responses to the Sheep Street Two-Way Cycling consultation showed very clearly that the public were extremely concerned about safety to pedestrians and the disabled. Given your	The Cabinet Member Decisions (CMD) report contained a detailed analysis, in a separate appendix, of consultation responses, including the demographic represented by the responders.
worrying decision to go ahead with proposals, what process will be in place for the reporting of	I would refer the questioner to the record of the meeting for the very detailed and lengthy consideration given to all factors which I was asked to take into account, and



accidents, and who carries responsibility for recourse?	to how I did so.
recourse?	Considerable safeguards were built into my decision over and above those already enshrined in the CMD process, including a formal report back to CMD, and an approach to DfT about making different arrangements on Fridays.
	Accidents are reported through the police.
	People can provide feedback to the consultation on the ETRO through Oxfordshire County Council's Let's Talk pages.
	Feedback on the consultation could also come via the local county councillors to the Place Planning North inbox.
	Vivacity technology will be in place and we are reaching out to Cherwell District Council for CCTV access. Officers will be monitoring speed, the tracking of cyclists, how use they use the space and information on pedestrian movements. We are also looking at the availability of "Near-miss" technology.
	An officer within the Place Planning North team will coordinate this work.
	I have requested a regular update to go to Cabinet Member Decisions and will be the one that makes the decision whether to make the Order permanent, amend it or discontinue it.
SUPPLEMENTARY:	ANSWER: (CLLR JUDY ROBERTS, CABINET MEMBER FOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY)
The demographics of those responding to the consultation pointed to over half of the correspondents being over 55 years of age. The Cabinet Member suggested, by allowing two-way cycling, would increase usage by under 55's. Wouldn't most of these people be at work or at school?	The answer was fairly thorough and actually, when I went to the consultation about the Market Square, I did ask people about two-way cycling and at the time, I didn't find anyone saying problems with it had been found. I think again, back to the same thing, that it is an ETRO and things will be changed if there's a problem. Near misses can be reported, not through the police system, but through the ETRO system. That also can be recorded.



3. COUNCILLOR MICHAEL WAINE	COUNCILLOR ANDREW GANT, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT
Now that Sheep Street will no longer be a pedestrianised street, how will 'two-way' cycling be monitored, and with what enforcement?	It will be a two-way shared space for cyclists; the one-way street only applies to motorised vehicles.
SUPPLEMENTARY:	ANSWER (CLLR JUDY ROBERTS, CABINET MEMBER FOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY):
Does this mean cyclists will be able to cycle anywhere in the street?	It is a shared space and as such, the shared spaces rules apply. So when you say anywhere, every person using that space has to give respect to every other user on the space
4. COUNCILLOR MARK CHERRY	COUNCILLOR ANDREW GANT, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT
Following on from the previous Cabinet question regarding flooding of Warwick Road properties, I'm afraid to say that although highways officers have investigated, nothing has been done. The drainage is over capacity and holds water at the first sight of heavy rainfall. I've been told this is	Oxfordshire County Council have a scheme to create a bypass drain and additional gullies at this location. We are currently awaiting confirmation of a price from our contractors. It is envisaged the work will commence in the new year though we are unable to give an exact date at present. The work will however be carried out during this financial year.
due to tree root ingress on the majority of the storm drainage system.	Flooding occurs at this location due to Thames Water's surface water drain being blocked by tree roots and our gullies are connected to their asset. We have chased Thames Water and will continue to do so.
Again, this is down to Oxfordshire County Council and Thames Water. Residents are getting their driveways flooded out and the road in question holds water, but literally doesn't dissipate into the drainage storm system.	

Г



Can I, as local member for Banbury Ruscote, get a timescale of work for Warwick Rd or some kind of resolution to a problem that over 8 years?	
SUPPLEMENTARY:	ANSWER:
Would the Cabinet Member agree with the frustrations of Warwick Rd residents that, in going through this flooding for a year, it is simply not good enough? I know that Thames Water are responsible but it is not the ideal situation.	Perhaps we should get a report from the highway maintenance team to see where they have it on their list of maintenance.
5. COUNCILLOR NICK FIELD-JOHNSON	COUNCILLOR JUDY ROBERTS, CABINET MEMBER FOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY
Why do we need another consultation on A40?Clearly residents have said that they wanted a dual carriageway in both directions all the way to the Wolvercote roundabout from Witney. This would significantly alleviate the congestionA further consultation is a waste of time and money as well as clearly shows that residents requests and opinions are again ignored.	The most recent consultation for the previous A40 Smart Corridor Scheme was in 2019 which consulted on the Dualling scheme (Witney to Eynsham) and the Bus Priority Scheme (East and West bound bus lanes and active travel improvements from Eynsham to Wolvercote including Wolvercote bridge capacity upgrades). Since that consultation, the A40 Smart Corridor Scheme has suffered significant impacts from global inflation, challenges in land assembly, ecological impacts, and changes in legislation such that the programme became unaffordable in its current form. A full scheme review was commenced and at the July 2023 Cabinet, it was agreed that an alternative solution for scheme delivery was required to be identified that met the funding requirements and delivered the most beneficial value. A new scheme with a change of scope has been negotiated with our funding partners (Homes England and DfT) which aligns with national and local policies and delivers on the desired benefits within the funding envelope. This scheme is called the A40 Eynsham Park and Ride to Wolvercote and is presented in the new public engagement process. This latest version of the scheme requires a new planning application, as such, the current engagement round is needed to provide part of the evidence base for the new planning application.



SUPPLEMENTARY:

ANSWER (CLLR JUDY ROBERTS, CABINET MEMBER FOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY):

We've had so many consultations and discussions on the A40. The residents of West Oxfordshire really want a dual carriageway there. We've now got thousands of new houses coming on; the car park-and-ride is still not working. I really do think further discussions on this is a waste of time and money. What we need to spend money on is putting a dual carriageway on the A40. Does the Councillor not agree that we need a dual carriageway?	I think the answer was quite full and actually it highlights that we were not given funding for a dual carriageway. We were given funding to complete the entire project of which it did not stretch to all the things in the original plan. The officers have come up with the best way of approaching the congestion that occurs at that point and that is the plan that has gone out to consultation. That is the best officer solution to where the money can be spent and clearly the entrance to the Park-and-Ride is probably the highest priority spend because your previous administration funded that, and it would be somewhat churlish to not give it an entrance so that it cannot be used. Having achieved that in the money we have available, we then have to make the rest of it achieve the best we can for the residents of that area, West Oxfordshire District residents and beyond. That is what they've done and there is a consultation. Please respond to the consultation and then we can amend or go ahead with that plan as best we can. Thank you.
6. COUNCILLOR KIERON MALLON	COUNCILLOR ANDREW GANT, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT
Can the Cabinet Member inform the Council of the number of gullies cleaned by Oxfordshire County Council in the last full calendar year (2023)?	The number of gullies cleaned between 1 January 2023 and 31 December 2023 is 38,860.
SUPPLEMENTARY:	ANSWER (CLLR JUDY ROBERTS, CABINET MEMBER FOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY):
I thank the Cabinet Member for the figure and it looks good until you break it down by area, since the Labour-Liberal-Green administration took over. Over the last financial year in Banbury, the number of gullies cleansed has fallen from 879, which was the last Conservative administration's	To my knowledge, the clearance of gullies is done on a risk basis across the whole county. I'm sorry that the risk in the Banbury area was not sufficient to require as much cleaning as probably came up in other areas. I'll pass onto Cllr Gant as to whether he feels that requires an apology or not.



budget, and it fell every year, until the last financial year when it fell to 455 gullies cleansed. How does the Cabinet Member account for this cut in funding corresponding to a 50% drop in gullies cleansed in Banbury and is he willing to apologise to those residents in Banbury affected by the recent storms?				
7. COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES, LEADER OF THE OPPOSITION	COUNCILLOR DAN L	EVY, CABINET MEN	IBER FOR FINANC	E
Can the Cabinet Member for Finance provide head-count figures for full- and part-time staff were employed and engaged by Oxfordshire County Council in each of the last four financial	This Table shows the been employed at the EMPLOYED :			
years (2021/2, 2022/3, 2023/4 and current)?	Financial Year	Full time employee	Part Time Employee	Total
	2021/2	2,889	2,227	5,116
	2022/3	3,055	2,255	5,310
	2023/4	3,164	2,214	5,378
	2024/5 (as at Sept 24)	3,315	2,185	5,500
	are as of 31 st March a This Table shows the been engaged at the 0 ENGAGED (the below	t the end of each Fina number of agency wo County Council during w numbers are for th	ncial Year. [•] kers, both full time each financial year. ose engaged via C	omensura only):
	Financial Year		Part Time agency	Total
		agency		
	2021/2	286	19	305



		-			
	2022/3	375	22	397	
	2023/4	379	7	386	
	2024/5 (as at Sept 24)	370	15	385	
SUPPLEMENTARY:	ANSWER:				
The number of full-time employees according to these figures has risen by 15% under this administration. Meanwhile, council tax for a Band D property has risen by 15%. Does the Cabinet Member think there might be a link?	Thank you for the question. I am convinced that all of our officers are doing work that is required. There are lots of areas where we have come under pressure from you, the opposition, quite rightly, in areas like SEND. I think our staffing is correct and as you know, there is a process to make sure numbers in the future continue to be correct but perhaps at a slightly lower level.				
8. COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES, LEADER OF THE OPPOSITION	R COUNCILLOR DAN LEVY, CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE				
Can the Cabinet Member for Finance advise as to the expenditure by Oxfordshire County Council	Financial Year	Agency Staff (Comensura spen	d) (Non Come	s nsura spend)	
on (i) agency staff and (ii) consultants in each of	2021/2022	No information	Spend not tra	acked in 2021/2022	
the last four financial years (2021/2, 2022/3,	2022/2023	£27,770,000	£12,260,000		
2023/4 and current)?	2023/2024	£27,980,000	£8,090,000		
	2024/5 up to Q2 24/25	£13,800,00	£2,550,000		
	contingent cor	individual consultants			



	 2. Notes on consultancy service spend 2.1. Includes spend recorded in the accounts against Management Consultant Fees, Technical and Professional Consultant Fees. 				t
		spend against pr as per point 2.1.		consultancy services spend n	ot
	2.3. Spend on delegated schools and Adopt Thames Valley excluded.				
SUPPLEMENTARY:	2.4. There is additional consultancy services spend not recorded here as it does not meet the two conditions listed in 2.1 and 2.2. This can be obtained but would require further time to complete the data extraction and preparation.				
The previous table shows that agency staff has risen by 29% under this administration. 29% in 4 years. Does he think that is good enough?	ANSWER: Similar answer to last time. This Council will employ agency staff where agency staff are the best way of delivering services to our residents. Again, it is something that senior management are very much aware of and are looking at.				
9. COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES, LEADER OF THE OPPOSITION					
Can the Cabinet member for Finance advise as to the expenditure by Oxfordshire County Council on (i) redundancy settlements, (ii) non-disclosure agreements and (iii) settlement agreements in	Total expenditure by Oxfordshire County Council (including maintained schools) on redundancy settlements, non-disclosure agreements and settlement agreements is as below:				
each of the last four financial years (2021/2, 2022/3, 2023/4 and current)?	2021/22 2022/23 2023/24 2024/25 Year to end of November				



	£1.276m	£1.140m	£0.685m	£1.137m
SUPPLEMENTARY:	ANSWER:			
The amount of money that the taxpayer has paid out in pay-offs to members of staff has increased by 34% over the last 4 years. 34% - that is just the amount of money that we have spent as taxpayers on paying staff off. What has he been playing at over the last 4 years?	Thank you for the question. What we have been playing at is making sure that the appointments made under the previous administration have been resolved. I am 100% convinced that we have now have an organisation that is both leaner and better than the one we inherited and to do that, you do need a through-put of staff. When staff change, there are associated costs. I think that is the full answer and it will balance itself out in the future.			
10. COUNCILLOR GEOFF SAUL	COUNCILLOR D	AN LEVY, CABIN	IET MEMBER F	OR FINANCE
Please can the Cabinet Member give an update on progress in bringing forward plans for the East Chipping Norton Strategic Development Area (in respect of which the County Council is a major landholder)?				y, West Oxfordshire District
	Part of the southern portion of the site, on the land owned by CALA Homes has been designated as an Ancient Monument by Historic England due to archaeological findings, which has paused the development, making delivery of the planned housing numbers no longer achievable.			gland due to archaeological
	Officers and Mem available to see w From these meeti	bers of West Oxfo what can be achiev ng OCC Officers ment site, to the e	ordshire District ved by working c were tasked to li	met on several occasions with Council to review the options collaboratively. aise with the owners of the site, north of London Road to see



SUPPLEMENTARY: Thank you for the encouraging reply. Back before we found a Roman settlement in the proposed development, we were undertaking a master planning process with formal input from all interested parties. Is the Council willing to engage in a similar exercise in respect of the revised development?	From these meeting a potential option is being evolved that will be presented to WODC for discussion in next year that could open the opportunity to deliver the majority of the housing numbers identified in the SDA and the local plan. WODC will need to consider the proposal as part of the development of the next local plan and consider if the boundaries of the SDA can be adjusted, or whether the designation can be removed ANSWER: Yes. Absolutely. It is unfortunate that the Romans decided that Chipping Norton was a nice place to live and it has caused lots of trouble. It is in the interests of both West Oxfordshire District Council (WODC) and this Council, and of course the residents, to make sure that we come up with a plan that works for everybody. I'm sure that officers here will work with officers from WODC, and that Councillors and residents will work together too.
11. COUNCILLOR IAN MIDDLETON	COUNCILLOR ANDREW GANT, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT
The latest flooding incidents in Kidlington have exposed some weaknesses in local flood defences. Specifically the road drainage system on the junction between Mill Street and Evans Lane where surface water is supposed to drain	Initial investigations indicate that there is a surface water sewer running along Mill Street which outfalls into the River Cherwell. This type of asset would typically have a non-return valve, as you describe, and this system is believed to belong to Thames Water.
into the Cherwell via a sump and valve system with equipment in place to prevent the river back- flowing on to the road.	It is possible that, in preventing the river from backing up the system and flooding the highway with river water, the non-return valve effectively shuts the highway drainage system down. Hence the continued highway flooding until river levels reduce and the non-return valve opens up again.
However during recent flooding events Mill Street has continued to flood putting businesses and homes at risk and regularly requiring the road to be closed. On some occasions the road floods when there has been little or no rain in the area	Oxfordshire County Council would only be responsible for the gullies and gully connections to the surface water sewer. However, especially noting the observations made, further investigation is needed to confirm what is happening. Officers will arrange to check the highway drainage system

Г



and will contact Thames Water to ask them to check their apparatus. I have asked officers to keep you updated on this.
COUNCILLOR DAN LEVY, CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE
Negotiations on a commercial transaction will usually need to take place in private and it is not unusual for the Council to agree to confidentiality clauses with commercial parties in order to protect their commercial interests or ours. The terms of this deal were agreed with the Leader and myself in keeping with the delegations provided by the Cabinet resolution.
The fact that we have entered into an option for a lease is a matter of public record and we would not normally publish the terms and conditions of this type of legal agreement. The commitments of the football club to the community have been set out in the Community Collaboration agreement, which sets out contractual obligations on the club. These commitments can only come into effect in the event that the club receives planning permission from Cherwell District Council.
The clause that the Councillor refers to has not been redacted in full as the question sets out.
In this case OUFC have asked us to maintain the confidentiality of certain legal provisions to protect their commercial interests.
As the club have recently stated, "With the planning submission likely to be considered in early 2025, the club are actively exploring alternative short-term provisions for the period between the lease at the Kassam Stadium expiring in 2026 and the new stadium being ready.



Since the publication of the agreement I have made repeated requests for this clause to be unredacted, but have now been told that the council has agreed with OUFC not to do so as it's regarded as commercially sensitive. This is a bizarre statement since it can have no bearing on a commercial deal that has already been signed off by both parties. Furthermore any practical and financial support will have to made public eventually as councils and other organisations in receipt will have to declare it in published accounts.

Does the Cabinet Member understand that it's seen by residents and stakeholders in my division as democratically obtuse for OCC to be making deals around community benefits without involving local community stakeholders in those negotiations, and that by continuing to deny them access to those details now we underline the clumsy and overbearing approach that has characterised negotiations surrounding the leasing of public land in my division?

SUPPLEMENTARY:

Apologies if I wasn't clear about the bit of the Community Collaboration Agreement that had been redacted. I was referring to Clause 4.1.3, paragraph h), sub section i), which states that

'OUFC will deliver the Pitch Perfect programme by providing 'redacted' funding to improve and maintain the sports playing pitches at Stratfield

ANSWER:

In order to have an agreement with a commercial organisation, sometimes you do have to have less openness than I'd personally like. I am sure that the Community Agreement with the football club is a good one. It will have benefits for the residents of Kidlington, whom you represent so well; it will have benefits for those who want to play sport in the area and there is a substantial number in there, which I unfortunately cannot reveal because of the commercial confidentiality. But, we have negotiated a good contract with the football club.



Brake'.	
So it appears a figure has been agreed with OUFC but that the parish and district councils responsible for the upkeep of Stratfield Brake have been deliberately kept out of the loop. Why did we agree to that?	
13. COUNCILLOR IAN SNOWDON	COUNCILLOR ANDREW GANT, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT
Residents of three completed housing developments in my division which are still not adopted by the council, with some residents living in their homes for over 12 years. Those residents can't report anything like streetlights not working, flooding due to blocked drains, potholes, illegally parked cars and so on at FixMyStreet as they are still technically private roads. Can you please provide any reason why the council is taking so long to adopt these roads and provide residents with access to all council services?	Highway Agreements Team (HA Team) officers work closely with Developers to progress highway adoption agreements including assessment of design and construction across Oxfordshire. Adoption of key development in and around Didcot is a priority for the HA Team, with Great Western Park a key focus site. To this end, significant work is underway to progress the adoption of Sir Frank Williams Avenue and Greenwood Way, at the aforementioned development, which are key to unlocking ability to progress adoption for the remainder of the development. Highway adoption agreements can be complex and are often delayed by land matters, drainage vesting issues and lengthy problems with highway remedial works; such matters have all been experienced with key development sites in and around the Didcot area.
	Until adopted, carriageway and any associated apparatus, remains the responsibility of the Developer to maintain. It is the case that speed limit and parking restriction enforcement can be carried out on these private roads by the Police once a traffic regulation order (TRO) is implemented, which are in place for the Great Western Park development. The requirement is on the Developer to complete the roads to an adoptable standard, in addition to meeting all adoption criteria, before the roads become maintainable at the public expense. In order for a road to be adopted, it has to connect to existing highway and therefore the estate roads that may be offered for future adoption, cannot be adopted until any



	 main development infrastructure (such as spine roads) become public highway. Adoption of the roads will also include apparatus such as streetlights, footways, drainage and verges that are adjacent to the road and associated with the highway. Developers are not obligated to offer their roads for adoption, neither are they required to have legal agreements in place prior to highway construction or property occupation. It is the case however, for major developments going forward, that adoption agreements are required as S106 obligation for key development infrastructure. This mechanism goes some way to ensuring timely signing of legal agreement for key infrastructure on some of the larger developments. The Highway Agreements Team will be happy to meet with you to discuss specific developments, their status in highway adoption terms and any planned future actions accordingly.
SUPPLEMENTARY:	ANSWER (CLLR JUDY ROBERTS, CABINET MEMBER FOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY):
We clearly have a very different view of what a priority is after 12 years, but Cllr Roberts, would you agree that residents living in their homes for over 12 years without being able to use OCC services like FixMyStreet is unacceptable and needs more effort than being referred to as a priority?	I'm afraid I know this system only too well. I have a development in my area that was first occupied in 2008. It's still not adopted. If the developers and the subsequent management committee do not put it forward for adoption, it doesn't have to be adopted. If there were problems when they actually developed it, such that perhaps the drainage was insufficient, until those changes have been made that make it acceptable, I'm afraid OCC cannot take on the liability until it is of a sufficient standard. I'm not absolutely sure of the consequences of this area, but has given you a fairly full response. That is the system and we have to adhere to it.
14. COUNCILLOR IAN SNOWDON	COUNCILLOR ANDREW GANT, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT
Many residents of the completed 3,300 homes at the Great Western Park housing development in Didcot are angry at the high level of antisocial and illegal parking, cars completely ignoring	Oxfordshire County Council (OCC) can enforce double yellow lines on private roads if these are covered by a traffic regulation order (TRO). I can confirm that a TRO is in place for Great Western Park for the double yellow lines and therefore we are able to carry out civil enforcement.



double yellow lines and parking restrictions outside schools, along with blocking pavements and resident's driveways.

I am repeatedly told that our civil parking enforcement officers cannot ticket cars on the estate as it's technically private roads while it remains unadopted and fines wouldn't be enforceable.

However, on the same estate we now have a bus gate with a new ANPR camera which is fining cars on the same unadopted private roads.

Can the cabinet member explain why bus gate fines are enforceable on unadopted roads parking fines are not on the very same roads?

SUPPLEMENTARY:

In the previous question, part of the answer was 'speed limits and parking enforcement can be carried out on private roads by the police once a Traffic Regulation Order is implemented'. In the answer to this question, it states that Oxfordshire County Council can enforce double-yellow lines on private roads if they are covered by a Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) – so it contradicts it a little bit. Cllr Gant has also confirmed that a TRO is in place for Great Western Park. For years, the entrance and spine road from the A4130 has had a TRO, which has never been enforced. That statement says there is one in place for Great

In the past, these have been enforced by Thames Valley Police however, we have recently instructed OCC's civil enforcement contractor to begin enforcement.

ANSWER (CLLR JUDY ROBERTS, CABINET MEMBER FOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY):

That one is very technical and I'm afraid I'm going to have to ask Cllr Gant to provide a written answer.



Western Park. Can you confirm if there is a new TRO in place because I'm unaware of it, officers are unaware of it and so are the parish council?	
15. COUNCILLOR IAN SNOWDON	COUNCILLOR ANDREW GANT, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT
13. COUNCILLOR IAN SNOWDON	MANAGEMENT
With winter approaching it's good to hear OCC has a new fleet of 30 new gritters hitting our county, but it's sad to hear that the entire fleet is diesel when there are electric options available with a range of 250km and a recharge time of just	The new gritter fleet, as with the majority of fleet used for the delivery of the highway service, operate using Hydrotreated Vegetable Oil (HVO). HVO is a sustainable and renewable fuel which reduces CO2 emissions and pollutants.
2 hours. With Salt Barn producing its own electricity this would have been a good chance to lead by example in fighting the climate emergency and at least purchase a few electric vehicles for some of our urban streets.	Provision of fully electric vehicles is under regular review as the technology and equipment to support this develops. Currently the provision of sufficient charging capacity at all operational depots is not available and would require a grid upgrade to be able to meet the charge requirement of a fully electric winter fleet. This, coupled with the cost of electric vehicles, meant that the most sustainable, modern, and cost- effective procurement of vehicles has been realised via the procurement of our new fleet.
Can the Cabinet Member explain why the entire fleet had to be diesel and how this decision fits with the administration's priorities?	During periods of prolonged snow and ice when the gritters are operating 24/7 we would not be able to stop the service for the time taken to charge the vehicles, (likely to be many hours). During trials we have found that range and charging times can vary and are still not reliable or at the ranges and times required to be operationally efficient.
	The solar panels at Deddington depot will feed back into the grid but would not be enough on its own to power electric vehicles. The provision of charging points at all depots requires sufficient capacity from the grid. This capacity is not available in the near term but is being explored for the future. We are working with the corporate climate team to explore all available options. The lead time for this is in between 3 to 5 years based on National Grid feedback.
	The vehicles will run on HVO. There are also projects underway to explore use of



	Hydrogen fuel. One includes provision of a Hydrogen fuel station at Drayton Depot to trial three large goods vehicles (LGV). Provision of hydrogen converters which work in tandem with existing fuel systems are also being trialled as part of the new Highway Contract. These converter units can be installed to existing plant and reduces the consumption of standard fuels as well as reducing carbon.
SUPPLEMENTARY:	ANSWER (CLLR JUDY ROBERTS, CABINET MEMBER FOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY):
The answer talks about a fully electrical winter fleet. Now, I understand this would be very challenging but surely as a Council that supposedly puts the climate emergency at the forefront, we could have set an example by purchasing a few at least for the urban areas?	Yes, the details of the fleet are complex. I am aware of that and I think we will have to provide a written answer.